

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: NSF SICAV Convergence Technology Fund

Legal entity identifier:
2221005MCU3DQ8UWX675

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment did not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation did not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

Yes

It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective**: ___%

in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

It made **sustainable investments with a social objective**: ___%

No

It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of ___% of sustainable investments

with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with a social objective

It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

1. The negative impact of the Principal Adverse Impact ("PAI") on sustainability factors was taken into consideration as an integrated part of the investment process.
2. The Sub-fund promoted certain minimal environmental and social standards and therefore applied exclusion criteria with regards to products (including controversial weapons, civilian arms and material thermal coal extraction) and business practices that Nevastar Finance

believes are detrimental to society and incompatible with strategies promoting E/S characteristics as per its policy on good governance practices of the investee companies set forth in this disclosure

- The Sub-Fund sought to achieve a similar or better ESG Score than a broad market reference index, represented by the Morningstar Global Markets Index. More information on the ESG rating methodology can be found at <https://www.nevstar.com>.

During the 2025 period, the Sub-Fund achieved an ESG risk Score of 18.1 vs. 19.3 for the broad market index.

Through investee companies' carbon reduction and other ESG policies in place, this financial products promoted:

- Climate change mitigation
- Transition to a circular economy
- Pollution prevention and control

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

● **How did the sustainability indicators perform? Compared to previous periods?**

Adverse sustainability indicator		Impact 2025 (Sustainalytics)	Impact 2024 (LSEG Refinitiv)	Impact 2023 (LSEG Refinitiv)	Explanation	Assurance Provided by an Auditor?	Reviewed by Third Party?
SUSTAINABILITY INDICATORS							
	% of holdings exposed to products and business practices that Nevastar Finance believes are detrimental to society and incompatible with strategies promoting E/S characteristics (cf. exclusions)	0%	0%	0%		No	No
	Sub-Fund's Weighted-Average ESG Risk Score.	18.1	59.05	57.58		No	Yes LSEG Refinitiv
	Broad Market Index' Weighted Average ESG Risk Score.	19.3	69.92	70.12		No	Yes LSEG Refinitiv
Adverse sustainability indicator		Impact 2025 (Sustainalytics)	Impact 2024 (Sustainalytics)	Impact 2023 (LSEG Refinitiv)	Explanation	Assurance Provided by an Auditor?	Reviewed by Third Party?
INDICATORS FOR SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION, AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS							
Social and employee matters	10. UNGC Principles/OECD Guidelines Violations (% involved)	1.39	0.00	0.00	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	11. UNGC Lack of Compliance Mechanism (% involved)	75.88	77.04	0.00	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap (%)	12.48	20.91	6.66	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	13. Board gender diversity (% Female)	33.30	32.77	31.04	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) (% involved)	0.00	0.00	0.00	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics

Adverse sustainability indicator		Impact 2025 (Sustainalytics)	Impact 2024 (Sustainalytics)	Impact 2023 (LSEG Refinitiv)	Explanation	Assurance Provided by an Auditor?	Reviewed by Third Party?
CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS							
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG emissions: Scope 1 GHG Emissions (tCO2eq)	18.61	28.61	3896.57	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	1. GHG emissions: Scope 2 GHG Emissions (tCO2eq)	100.49	155.49	2959.76	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	1. GHG emissions: Scope 3 GHG Emissions (tCO2eq)	454.61	1039.32	1461	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	1. GHG emissions: Total GHG Emissions (tCO2eq)	573.08	1130.39	8974.4	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	2. Carbon footprint (tCO2eq/EURm)	23.7	27.23	245.62	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	3. GHG intensity of investee companies (tCO2eq/EURm)	148.99	160.87	1596.42	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production (%)	Consumption: 51.79 Production: 17.10	Consumption: 52.75 Production: 19.74	Consumption: 59.35	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 50.45%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector (GWh/EURm)	Total: 0.08 Sector C: 0.08	Total: 0.06 Sector C: 0.06	Total: 0.22 Sector C: 0.22	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 50.45%	No	Yes Sustainalytics	
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity- sensitive areas (% involved)	2.1	1.93	0.00	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
Water	8. Emissions to water (t/EURm)	n/a	n/a	n/a	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 0%	No	Yes Sustainalytics
Waste	9. Hazardous waste ratio (t/EURm)	0.09	0.09	0.074	Eligibility: 99.77% Coverage: 99.77%	No	Yes Sustainalytics

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption, and anti-bribery matters.

● **What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?**

This financial product does not include sustainable investments.

● **How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?**

This financial product does not include sustainable investments.

— **How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?**

This financial product does not include sustainable investments.

— **Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:**

This financial product does not include sustainable investments.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Nevastar Finance assessed the negative consequences of their investment decisions as indicated by PAI indicators as part of the portfolio management process. Specific PAI indicators are subject to data availability and may evolve with improving data quality and availability.

Information on principal adverse impact factors on sustainability and governance will be made available in the annual report referred to in Article 69 of Directive 2009/65/EC pursuant to Article 11(2) of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

Long Comp Name	GICS Sector Name	GICS Sub Industry Name	Country Full Name	Avg 2025 Weight	Avg 2024 Weight
Alphabet Inc	Communication Services	Interactive Media & Services	UNITED STATES	2.97%	2.50%
Cognex Corp	Information Technology	Electronic Equipment & Instrum	UNITED STATES	2.88%	2.81%
Akamai Technologies Inc	Information Technology	Internet Services & Infrastruc	UNITED STATES	2.66%	2.64%
Advanced Micro Devices Inc	Information Technology	Semiconductors	UNITED STATES	2.46%	2.02%
Zebra Technologies Corp	Information Technology	Electronic Equipment & Instrum	UNITED STATES	2.58%	2.15%
United Therapeutics Corp	Health Care	Biotechnology	UNITED STATES	2.50%	2.72%
CRISPR Therapeutics AG	Health Care	Biotechnology	SWITZERLAND	2.31%	2.33%
PayPal Holdings Inc	Financials	Transaction & Payment Processi	UNITED STATES	2.27%	2.99%
Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc	Health Care	Biotechnology	UNITED STATES	2.30%	2.36%
Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc	Health Care	Life Sciences Tools & Services	UNITED STATES	2.06%	1.92%
Nice Ltd	Information Technology	Application Software	ISRAEL	2.16%	2.49%
Block Inc	Financials	Transaction & Payment Processi	UNITED STATES	2.41%	2.56%
Cadence Design Systems Inc	Information Technology	Application Software	UNITED STATES	2.35%	0.51%
Varonis Systems Inc	Information Technology	Systems Software	UNITED STATES	2.04%	1.94%
Autodesk Inc	Information Technology	Application Software	UNITED STATES	2.17%	2.11%

The list includes the investments constituting the **greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is 2025.


Positions weights are calculated as the monthly average of each positions' weights during the year 2025.



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

The proportion of sustainable investments was 0% in 2025.

● What was the asset allocation?



Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets in 2025.

During the 2025 period, the allocation was 98.08% Equity, 1.92% in Cash during the course of the year. The sub-fund's investee companies are all considered to promote E/S characteristics. The calculation of the asset allocation methodology has been updated since 2023 to reflect the sub-fund's average monthly allocations.

● **In which economic sectors were the investments made?**

GICS Sectors	Avg 2025 Weight	Avg 2024 Weight
Information Technology	51.80%	47.24%
Health Care	28.99%	35.74%
Financials	5.77%	5.54%
Communication Services	5.39%	2.50%
Consumer Discretionary	4.99%	4.45%
Industrials	1.14%	2.06%

GICS Subsectors	Avg 2025 Weight	Avg 2024 Weight
Biotechnology	18.68%	21.53%
Application Software	12.78%	12.58%
Systems Software	11.46%	11.44%
Semiconductors	9.75%	8.06%
Life Sciences Tools & Services	6.99%	9.68%
Electronic Equipment & Instrum	6.75%	6.05%
Semiconductor Materials & Equi	6.69%	4.43%
Interactive Media & Services	5.18%	2.50%
Transaction & Payment Processi	4.68%	5.54%
Internet Services & Infrastruc	4.37%	4.68%
Automobile Manufacturers	2.38%	2.19%
Consumer Electronics	0.83%	2.26%
Pharmaceuticals	0.00%	1.34%
Health Care Equipment	1.83%	1.70%
Health Care Technology	1.49%	1.49%
Electrical Components & Equipm	1.14%	2.06%
Broadline Retail	1.79%	0.00%
Financial Exchanges & Data	1.09%	0.00%
Movies & Entertainment	0.21%	0.00%

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for fossil gas include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For nuclear energy, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

The sub-fund has no exposure to fossil fuel activities (revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage, and trade, of fossil fuels as defined in Article 2, point (62), of Regulation (EU) 2018/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council).



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

This financial product does not have to taxonomy aligned investment commitment.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?


The financial product did not invest in fossil fuels or nuclear energy.

- Yes
- In fossil gas
- In nuclear energy
- No

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left-hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.



● **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?**

0% of the investments are identified as relating to transitional or enabling activities.

● **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

0% of the investments were identified as being aligned with the EU Taxonomy in 2024, 2023 and 2022.

● **What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?**

On average, 0% of the Sub-Fund's positions were identified as being aligned with the EU Taxonomy over the course of the year.

● **What was the share of socially sustainable investments?**

On average, 0% of the sub-fund's positions were identified as being socially sustainable investments over the course of the year.

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

Cash available for investments and general cash management purposes. There are no minimum environmental or social safeguards applied to these investments. This category may also include securities for which relevant data is not available.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The investment manager regularly monitored the fund’s principal adverse impact indicators and ensured constant adherence of the Sub-Fund’s investee companies to its exclusion policy.

The fund’s ESG score is also regularly monitored versus the broad market index and reviewed by the fund’s board on a quarterly basis.

The ESG data scarcity for small and medium-sized companies has caused data providers to underestimate the sub-fund’s ESG Score, leading to an ESG under-performance relative to the broad market index, which is heavily weighted towards well covered large-cap companies. The manager reached out in to the data provider to help improve coverage of the sub-fund’s investee companies and will continue doing so when necessary.

There was no direct engagement with portfolio companies, as per Nevastar's engagement policy.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

No index has been designated as a reference benchmark to determine whether this financial product is aligned with the environmental and/or social characteristics that it promotes.

● ***How did the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?***

N/A

● ***How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?***

N/A

● ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

N/A

● ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

The 2025 performance of the S share class was +8.60% vs +25.07% for the Morningstar Global Markets Index PR, the broad market index.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.